



Comments on "Precise model measurements versus theoretical prediction of barrier insertion loss in presence of the ground" [J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 73, 44–54 (1983)]

Rasmussen, Karsten Bo

Published in:
Acoustical Society of America. Journal

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1121/1.389968](https://doi.org/10.1121/1.389968)

Publication date:
1983

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Rasmussen, K. B. (1983). Comments on "Precise model measurements versus theoretical prediction of barrier insertion loss in presence of the ground" [J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 73, 44–54 (1983)]. *Acoustical Society of America. Journal*, 74(4), 1299-1299. <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.389968>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Bekesy, G. von (1932). "Zur Theorie des Horens bei der Schallaufnahme durch Knochenleitung," *Ann. Phys.* 13, 111-136.

Boezeman, E. H. J. F. *et al.* (in press).

Kapteyn, T. S., Snel, A. M., and Vis, E. C. (1980). "The cancellation method for an accurate determination of an air-bone gap," *Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 228, 199-204.

Khanna, S. M., Tonndorf, J., and Queller, J. E. (1976). "Mechanical parameters of hearing by bone conduction," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 60, 139-154.

Lowy, K. (1942). "Cancellation of the electrical cochlear response with air and bone conducted sound," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 14, 156-158.

Robinson, D. W., and Shipton, M. S. (1982). "A standard determination of paired air- and bone-conduction thresholds under different masking noise conditions," *Audiology* 21, 61-82.

Tonndorf, J., Greenfield, E. C., and Kaufman, R. S. (1966). The relative efficiency of air and bone conduction in cats," *Acta Oto-Laryngol. Suppl.* 213, 105-123.

Comments on "Precise model measurements versus theoretical prediction of barrier insertion loss in presence of the ground" [*J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 73, 44-54 (1983)]

Karsten B. Rasmussen

Danish Acoustical Institute, c/o Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

(Received for publication 12 April 1983; accepted for publication 18 June 1983)

Some of the theoretical curves in the article by J. Nicolas *et al.* [*J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 73, 44-54 (1983)] appear to be erroneous.

PACS numbers: 43.20.Fn, 43.50.Vt, 43.28.Fp

In a recent paper,¹ Nicolas *et al.* measure and calculate the effect of a thin screen on the ground. The insertion loss is calculated by means of accurate and computationally cumbersome formulas for diffraction around a screen originating from Hadden and Pierce.² Similar calculations are carried out on the basis of the more conventional approximate solution to diffraction around a thin screen as given by Bowman *et al.*³ The latter approximation (which involves two Fresnel integrals and is computationally feasible) is found by Nicolas *et al.* to be very inaccurate for most of the situations investigated.

It appears, however, that some error must be present in the computer program used by Nicolas *et al.* since a similar program developed at the Danish Acoustical Institute (work sponsored by the Danish Council for Scientific and Industrial Research) has yielded results very similar indeed to those obtained from the accurate Hadden and Pierce formula. For instance in the situations treated in Fig. 6 in Nico-

las *et al.*¹ the difference between a correct Bowman *et al.* type calculation and a Hadden and Pierce type calculation is vanishingly small, the maximum deviation being approximately 1 dB, whereas Nicolas *et al.* find deviations exceeding 10 dB. Results which are inconsistent with the low degree of accuracy claimed by Nicolas *et al.* have also been obtained by Kawai.⁴

¹J. Nicolas, T. F. W. Embleton, and J. E. Piercy, "Precise model measurements versus theoretical prediction of barrier insertion loss in presence of the ground," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 73, 44-54 (1983).

²W. J. Hadden and A. D. Pierce, "Sound diffraction around screens and wedges for arbitrary point source locations," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 69, 1266-1276 (1981).

³J. J. Bowman, T. B. A. Senior, and P. L. E. Uslenghi, *Electromagnetic and Acoustic Scattering by Simple Shapes* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969).

⁴T. Kawai, "Sound diffraction by a many-sided barrier or pillar," *J. Sound Vib.* 79, 229-242 (1981).